



TITLE:

# Parabolic fixed points of two dimensional complex dynamical systems(Complex Dynamics and Related Problems)

AUTHOR(S):

Ushiki, Shigehiro

---

CITATION:

Ushiki, Shigehiro. Parabolic fixed points of two dimensional complex dynamical systems(Complex Dynamics and Related Problems). 数理解析研究所講究録 1996, 959: 168-180

ISSUE DATE:

1996-08

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/60476>

RIGHT:

Parabolic fixed points of two dimensional  
complex dynamical systems  
(2次元複素力学系の放物型不動点について)

Shigehiro Ushiki (宇敷重広)

Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies  
Kyoto University (京都大学大学院 人間・環境学研究科)  
Kyoto, 606-01, Japan

## 0. Introduction

Let  $T$  be a holomorphic mapping of a neighborhood,  $V$ , of the origin,  $O = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , into  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with  $T(O) = O$ . The germ of such a mapping is called a *local analytic transformation*.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the set of all local analytic transformations. Local analytic transformations  $T$  and  $T'$  are said to be *r-equivalent* if their power series expansion at the origin coincide up to order  $r$ . The equivalence class is called the *r-jet* of the local analytic transformation.

Local analytic transformations  $T$  and  $T'$  are said to be *r-conjugate* if there is an invertible local analytic transformation  $S$  such that  $S^{-1} \circ T \circ S$  and  $T'$  are *r-equivalent*. Let  $\mathcal{T}_I = \{T \in \mathcal{T} \mid dT(O) = id\}$ , where  $dT$  denotes the differential of  $T$  and  $id$  denotes the identity map. The elements of  $\mathcal{T}_I$  are called *parabolic local analytic transformations*. Ueda[2] gave a classification of 2-jets of  $\mathcal{T}_I$ .

Let  $E = \{P \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid T^n(P) \rightarrow O \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ , and  $D = \{P \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid T^n \text{ converge uniformly to } O \text{ in some neighborhood of } P \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ . If  $D \neq \emptyset$ , then we say  $O$  has a basin of attraction.

In Ueda's list of normal forms, the case of  $N_{2,1}(\lambda)$  (case I-B in our classification) :

$$(0.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x + \lambda x^2 & + xy & + \cdots \\ y_1 &= y & + (\lambda + 1)xy & + y^2 + \cdots \end{cases}$$

has a parabolic basin if  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) > 0$ . In this note, we shall prove that the fixed point of the above type has another attractive basin of a different

type. The author does not know if they are analytically conjugate or not in the basins. Since this new type of attractive basin appears as a degenerate case of parabolic basin, we call such a basin a weakly-parabolic basin.

### 1. 2-jets of parabolic local analytic transformations

Let  $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $g : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be homogeneous polynomials of degree 2, and let  $F : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  be a parabolic analytic transformation defined by

$$F \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + f(x, y) \\ y + g(x, y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $H : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  defined by

$$H \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(x, y) \\ g(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

denote the homogeneous part of degree 2. We have  $F = id + H$ .

If an invertible local analytic transformation  $S$  has a linear part  $L \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , then the 2-jet of  $S^{-1} \circ F \circ S$  is given by

$$L^{-1} \circ F \circ L = id + L^{-1} \circ H \circ L.$$

Hence, if parabolic local transformations  $F = id + H$  and  $F' = id + H'$  are 2-equivalent, then there exists a linear isomorphism  $L \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that

$$L^{-1} \circ H \circ L = H'$$

and vice versa. Thus, the classification of 2-jets is reduced to the classification of homogeneous polynomial maps  $H : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  under the conjugacy  $L^{-1} \circ H \circ L$  with  $L \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ . We have several cases.

CASE I :  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  are mutually prime.

CASE II :  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  have a common factor of degree one.

CASE III :  $f(x, y)$  or  $g(x, y)$  is a scalar multiple of the other (and not both zero).

CASE IV : both  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  are 0.

First, let us consider the case I. Let  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{O\} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}}$  denote the natural projection of  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{O\}$  to the Riemann sphere  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Homogeneous maps  $H$  and  $H'$  induce rational maps of degree 2 on the Riemann

sphere. We denote the induced rational maps by  $[H] : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $[H'] : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$  respectively.

LEMMA 1.1  $H$  and  $H'$  are conjugate by an element of  $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$  if and only if  $[H]$  and  $[H']$  are conjugate by a Möbius transformation.

The classification of rational functions of degree 2 under the conjugacy of Möbius transformations is well known (*e.g.* see Milnor[1]). A conjugacy class of rational functions of degree two is characterized by the set of three multipliers of the fixed points. The three multipliers, say  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$ , are subject to the restriction

$$\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 - (\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) + 2 = 0.$$

These values are invariant under the conjugacies.

If  $\mu_i \neq 1$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), then the residues at each of the fixed points

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int \frac{dz}{[H](z) - z} = \frac{1}{\mu_i - 1}$$

give another set of holomorphic invariants. The values  $\lambda_i$  are called "translation numbers" in the normal forms studied by Ueda[2].  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  are subject to the restriction

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = -1.$$

Ueda[2] proved the following.

THEOREM (Ueda) If  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i > 0$ , then  $F$  has a (parabolic) basin of attraction of the fixed point  $O$  which corresponds to  $\lambda_i$ . If  $F$  is an automorphism of a complex manifold, then the basin of attraction is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and the dynamics in the basin is analytically conjugate to a translation.

This theorem holds also in the cases I-B and II-A-2 below. See Ueda[2] for the proof. Our case I is divided into three sub-cases.

CASE I-A :  $[H]$  has three distinct fixed points.

CASE I-B :  $[H]$  has a double fixed point and a simple fixed point.

CASE I-C :  $[H]$  has a triple fixed point.

Normal forms as 2-jets for these cases are as follows.

$$(I-A) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x + \lambda_1 x^2 + (\lambda_2 + 1)xy \\ y_1 &= y + (\lambda_1 + 1)xy + \lambda_2 y^2. \end{cases}$$

Note that in our case I-A, we exclude the case where  $\lambda_i = 0$  holds for some  $i$ . This case is treated as case II-A-1 and III-A-1, since in this case the components of  $H$  have a common factor.

The parameter  $\lambda$  in the following normal form is given by  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu_1 - 1}$ , if  $\mu_1 \neq 1$  and  $\mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$ , for example.

$$(I-B) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x + \lambda x^2 + xy \\ y_1 &= y + (\lambda + 1)xy + y^2. \end{cases}$$

Note that in our case I-B, we exclude the case of  $\lambda = 0$ , in which case the induced map  $[H]$  degenerates to a Möbius transformation with an indeterminate point. This case will be treated as case II-B-1.

In case I-C, we have  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = 1$ .

$$(I-C) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x + xy \\ y_1 &= y + x^2 + y^2. \end{cases}$$

Next, consider the case II, where  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  have a common factor and the induced map  $[H]$  defines a Möbius transformation except at the indeterminate point corresponding to the common factor. We have three possibilities for the Möbius transformation  $[H]$ .

CASE II-A :  $[H]$  has two distinct fixed points.

CASE II-B :  $[H]$  has a double fixed point.

CASE II-C :  $[H]$  is the identity.

And taking the indeterminate point, originating from the common factor, into considerations, we have sub-cases as follows.

CASE II-A-1 : the indeterminate point is different from the fixed points.

CASE II-A-2 : the indeterminate point coincides with one of the fixed points of the Möbius transformation.

CASE II-B-1 : the indeterminate point is different from the double fixed point.

CASE II-B-2 : the indeterminate point coincides with the double fixed point.

The normal form of case II-A-1 is same as the case I-A. There is a restriction on the parameters. Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  denote the multiplier at one of the fixed point of the Möbius transformation. The parameters

in the normal form are given by  $\lambda_1 = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\gamma-1}$ , and  $\lambda_3 = 0$ .

$$(II-A-1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x & + \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}x^2 & + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}xy \\ y_1 &= y & & + \frac{1}{1-\gamma}xy & + \frac{1}{\gamma-1}y^2. \end{cases}$$

$$(II-A-2) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x & + \lambda x^2 \\ y_1 &= y & & + (\lambda + 1)xy. \end{cases}$$

Here, the parameter (translation number)  $\lambda$  is given by  $\lambda = \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$ , for multiplier  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$  of the Möbius transformation at the indeterminate fixed point. Note that the cases  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = -1$  are omitted here. These cases will be treated as cases III-A-2 and III-B-1 below.

The case II-B-1 corresponds to the exceptional case of I-B with  $\lambda = 0$ .

$$(II-B-1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x & + xy \\ y_1 &= y & + xy & + y^2. \end{cases}$$

$$(II-B-2) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x & + x^2 \\ y_1 &= y & + x^2 & + xy. \end{cases}$$

$$(II-C) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x & + x^2 \\ y_1 &= y & & + xy. \end{cases}$$

In the case III, the induced map  $[H]$  yields a constant function on the Riemann sphere. We have the following sub-cases according to the common factors of the components of  $H$ .

CASE III-A : the components  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  have two mutually prime common factors.

CASE III-B : the components  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  have a double common factor.

The common factor defines the indeterminate points of the induced map  $[H]$ . The value of the constant function  $[H]$  is defined except at these indeterminate points. Let  $v([H])$  denote the value. Taking these points into considerations, we have following sub-cases.

CASE III-A-1 :  $v([H])$  is different from the indeterminate points.

CASE III-A-2 :  $v([H])$  coincides with one of the indeterminate points.

CASE III-B-1 :  $v([H])$  is different from the double indeterminate point.

CASE III-B-2 :  $v([H])$  coincides with the double indeterminate point.

The case III-A-1 falls into the normal form I-A with excepted parameters  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ , and a simpler normal form is given by

$$(III-A-1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x \\ y_1 &= y + xy + y^2. \end{cases}$$

The normal form for case III-A-2 is obtained by setting  $\lambda = 0$  in II-A-2.

$$(III-A-2) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x \\ y_1 &= y + xy. \end{cases}$$

The normal form for case III-B-1 is obtained by setting  $\lambda = -1$  in II-A-2.

$$(III-B-1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x \\ y_1 &= y + y^2. \end{cases}$$

$$(III-B-2) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x \\ y_1 &= y + x^2. \end{cases}$$

Finally, the case IV has the 2-jet normal form

$$(IV) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x \\ y_1 &= y. \end{cases}$$

Here, we note the correspondence between our classification of 2-jet normal forms of parabolic analytic transformations and that of Ueda's classification[2].

Ueda's notation	our clasification			
$N_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$	I-A,	II-A-1,	III-A-1	
$N_{2,1}(\lambda)$	I-B,	II-B-1		
$N_{2,2}(\lambda)$		II-A-2,	III-A-2, III-B-1	
$N_{3,1}$	I-C			
$N_{3,2}$		II-B-2		
$N_{3,3}$			III-B-2	
$N_4$		II-C		
$N_0$			IV	

## 2. Pseudo-parabolic fixed points

In this section, we consider the case I-B. In this case, the induced map  $[H]$  has a simple fixed point and a double fixed point. The translation number  $\lambda$  in the normal form I-B is related to the simple fixed point. We call a fixed point of type I-B a *pseudo-parabolic* fixed point. We are interested in the double fixed point of  $[H]$ . In order to study the behavior of the local analytic transformation in the neighborhood of the pseudo-parabolic fixed point, we consider the blow-up  $\pi: \widehat{\mathbb{C}^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  at  $O$ . we denote the exceptional curve by  $\Theta = \pi^{-1}(O) \simeq \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $V$  be the domain of definition of the transformation  $T$  and let  $\widehat{V} = \pi^{-1}(V)$ . The transformation induces an analytic transformation  $\widehat{T}: \widehat{V} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}^2}$ . As  $dT(O) = id$ , all points of the exceptional curve are fixed points of  $\widehat{T}$ .

Let us try a blow-up in our case I-B. The  $x$ -axis direction,  $\{y = 0\}$ , corresponds to the simple fixed point of  $[H]$ , and is related to the translation number  $\lambda$ . To see this, we may try a blow-up with  $t = \frac{y}{x}$ . We obtain the following local analytic transformation.

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x + (\lambda + t)x^2 + \cdots \\ t_1 &= t + tx + \cdots \end{cases}$$

The  $y$ -axis direction,  $\{x = 0\}$ , corresponds to the parabolic fixed point of  $[H]$ . We try a blow-up with  $u = \frac{x}{y}$  and obtain the following.

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} y_1 &= y + (1 + (\lambda + 1)u)y^2 + \cdots \\ u_1 &= u - u^2y + \cdots \end{cases}$$

Local analytic transformations arising from such a blow-up leaves the exceptional curve invariant, and all the points in the exceptional curve are fixed points. By taking a system of local coordinates around the point in the exceptional curve, we can assume, in general, that the local analytic transformation is of the following form.

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x + f_2(y)x^2 + f_3(y)x^3 + \cdots \\ y_1 &= y + g_1(y)x + g_2(y)x^2 + \cdots \end{cases}$$

Local analytic transformations of the form (2.5) is called a transformation of class  $S_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  [resp. class  $S_\infty$ ] if  $g_1(y)$  vanishes at  $y = 0$  exactly with order  $\nu$  [resp. vanish identically]. For  $T \in S_1$ , we define the *translation number*  $\lambda$  by

$$\lambda = \frac{f_2(0)}{g_1'(0)}.$$



The translation number  $\lambda$  and the multiplier  $\mu$  of the corresponding simple fixed point of  $[H]$  are related by  $\lambda = \frac{1}{\mu-1}$ . The translation number is also a holomorphic invariant in class  $S_1$ .

For  $T \in S$ , the order of vanishing of  $g_1(y)$  at  $y = 0$  is invariant under those holomorphic change of coordinates which transforms the transformation of the form (2.5) into the same form.

Let  $T$  be a local analytic transformation, and  $T \in S_1$ . The origin has a basin of attraction if the real part of the translation number is positive. We call this basin of attraction a *parabolic basin* of the parabolic fixed point.

Note that (2.3) is of class  $S_1$  and its translation number is  $\lambda$ . The transformation for the double fixed point (2.4) is of class  $S_2$ , which shall be discussed in the following section.

### 3. Weakly-parabolic basin

In this section, we consider a local analytic transformation  $T \in S_2$  given by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x + f_2(y)x^2 + f_3(y)x^3 + \dots \\ y_1 = y + g_1(y)x + g_2(y)x^2 + \dots, \end{cases}$$

where  $g_1(0) = 0$ ,  $g'_1(0) = 0$ , and  $g''_1(0) \neq 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.1** If  $f_2(0) \neq 0$ , local analytic transformation (3.1) has a non-empty basin of attraction.

We call this attractive basin a *weakly-parabolic basin*. As a preliminary, we try to simplify the transformation by local change of coordinates.

**PROPOSITION 3.2** For any  $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ , by a change of coordinates  $S_\alpha : (X, Y) \mapsto (x, y)$  of the form

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} x = \alpha(Y)X \\ y = Y, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha(Y)$  is an analytic function of  $Y$ , transformation (3.1) can be transformed into the form

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} X_1 = X + F_2(Y)X^2 + F_3(Y)X^3 + \dots \\ Y_1 = Y + G_1(Y)X + G_2(Y)X^2 + \dots \end{cases}$$

with  $F_2(Y) = 1 + \delta Y + \dots$ ,  $G_1(0) = G'_1(0) = 0$ , and  $G''_1(0) \neq 0$ .

PROOF Let  $\tilde{T}$  denote the transformation (3.3). As  $S_\alpha$  is a local automorphism, we have  $\alpha(0) \neq 0$  and

$$T \circ S_\alpha = S_\alpha \circ \tilde{T}$$

holds. Expand the both sides as power series in  $X$  with analytic functions in  $Y$  as coefficients. By comparing the coefficients of both sides, we have

$$(3.4) \quad f_2(Y)(\alpha(Y))^2 = \alpha(Y)F_2(Y) + \alpha'(Y)G_1(Y)$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad G_1(Y) = \alpha(Y)g_1(Y).$$

The function  $\alpha(Y)$  must satisfy the differential equation

$$(3.6) \quad f_2(Y)\alpha(Y) - g_1(Y)\alpha'(Y) = F_2(Y),$$

with  $\alpha(0) \neq 0$ . Let

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{f_2(0)},$$

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{f_2(0)}(\delta - a_0 f_2'(0)) = \frac{1}{f_2(0)}\left(\delta - \frac{f_2'(0)}{f_2(0)}\right)$$

and choose the analytic function  $\alpha(Y)$  as, for example,

$$\alpha(Y) = a_0 + a_1 Y.$$

We obtain the desired change of coordinates of the proposition. As  $\alpha(0) = a_0 \neq 0$ , the conditions for  $G_1(Y)$  are satisfied.

Especially, as we have  $G_1''(0) = f_2(0)g_1''(0)$ , we can take  $\delta = G_1''(0)/2 = f_2(0)g_1''(0)/2$  to be used in the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.3 Assume  $T \in S_2$  and  $f_2(y) = 1 + \delta y + O(y^2)$ , with  $\delta = \frac{g_1''(0)}{2}$ . By a change of coordinates  $S_\beta : (X, Y) \mapsto (x, y)$  of the form

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} x &= X \\ y &= \beta(Y), \end{cases}$$

with  $\beta(0) = 0$ ,  $\beta'(0) \neq 0$ ,  $T$  can be transformed into  $\tilde{T} : (X, Y) \mapsto (X_1, Y_1)$ ,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{cases} X_1 &= X + F_2(Y)X^2 + F_3(Y)X^3 + \cdots \\ Y_1 &= Y + G_1(Y)X + G_2(Y)X^2 + \cdots \end{cases}$$

with  $F_2(Y) = 1 + Y + O(Y^2)$  and  $G_1(Y) = Y^2 + O(Y^3)$ .

PROOF Compare both sides of  $T \circ S_\beta = S_\beta \circ \tilde{T}$  as power series in  $X$  and obtain

$$f_2(\beta(Y)) = F_2(Y), \text{ and } g_1(\beta(Y)) = \beta'(Y)G_1(Y).$$

Let  $\beta(Y) = \frac{2}{g_1''(0)}Y$ , for example, to get  $G_1(Y) = Y^2 + O(Y^3)$ . Note that, here, generally, a term of order 3 cannot be suppressed by an analytic change of coordinates. We have, also,

$$F_2(Y) = f_2(\beta(Y)) = 1 + Y + O(Y^2).$$

PROPOSITION 3.4 Let  $T : (x, y) \mapsto (x_1, y_1)$  be a local analytic transformation of the form

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = x + f_2(y)x^2 + f_3(y)x^3 + \dots \\ y_1 = y + g_1(y)x + g_2(y)x^2 + \dots, \end{cases}$$

and let  $S : (X, Y) \mapsto (x, y)$  be a change of local coordinates of the form

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} x = \alpha_1(Y)X + \alpha_2(Y)X^2 + \alpha_3(Y)X^3 + \dots \\ y = \beta_0(Y) + \beta_1(Y)X + \beta_2(Y)X^2 + \dots. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\tilde{T} : (X, Y) \mapsto (X_1, Y_1)$  be the transformation given by  $\tilde{T} = S^{-1} \circ T \circ S$ , with

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} X_1 = X + F_2(Y)X^2 + F_3(Y)X^3 + \dots \\ Y_1 = Y + G_1(Y)X + G_2(Y)X^2 + \dots. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the followings.

$$G_1(Y) = \frac{\alpha_1(Y)}{\beta_0'(Y)}g_1(\beta_0(Y))$$

and

$$F_2(Y) = \alpha_1(Y)f_2(\beta_0(Y)) - \frac{\alpha_1'(Y)}{\beta_0(Y)}g_1(\beta_0(Y)).$$

PROOF These are verified by an immediate computation.

PROPOSITION 3.5 Assume  $T \in S_2$  is of the form (3.9) with  $f_2(y) = 1 + y + O(y^2)$  and  $g_1(y) = y^2 + O(y^3)$ . By a local change of coordinates  $S$  of the form (3.10), the transformation  $T$  can be transformed into  $\tilde{T}$  of the form (3.11) with  $F_2(Y) = f_2(Y)$ ,  $G_1(Y) = g_1(Y)$  and  $G_2(Y) = 0$ .

PROOF We set  $\alpha_1(Y) = 1$  and  $\beta_0(Y) = Y$ . Then proposition 3.4 guarantees that  $G_1(Y) = g_1(Y)$  and  $F_2(Y) = f_2(Y)$ . Compute  $S \circ \widetilde{T}$  and  $T \circ S$  to compare the coefficients of  $X^2$  in  $y_1$ . We get

$$G_2(Y) = g_2(Y) + \beta_1(Y)(g'_1(Y) - f_2(Y)) + g_1(Y)(\alpha_2(Y) - \beta'_1(Y)).$$

Hence, if we set

$$\beta_1(Y) = \frac{g_2(Y)}{f_2(Y) - g'_1(Y)}$$

and

$$\alpha_2(Y) = \beta'_1(Y),$$

we get  $G_2(Y) = 0$ . As  $f_2(Y) = 1 + Y + O(Y^2)$  and  $g'_1(Y) = O(Y)$ ,  $\beta_1(Y)$  is analytic near the origin.

#### 4. Proof of theorem 3.1

By propositions in the previous section, we can assume

$$f_2(y) = 1 + y + O(y^2),$$

$$g_1(y) = y^2 + O(y^3),$$

and

$$g_2(y) = 0$$

to prove theorem 3.1. Then, the transformation  $T : (x, y) \mapsto (x_1, y_1)$ ,  $T \in S_2$ , takes the following form

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1 &= x & + (1 + y)x^2 & + O(y^2x^2) & + O(x^3) \\ y_1 &= y & + y^2x & + O(y^3x) & + O(x^3), \end{cases}$$

where  $O(\varphi(x, y))$  implies some analytic function, say  $\psi(x, y)$ , which can be written as  $\psi(x, y) = \varphi(x, y)\rho(x, y)$  for some analytic function  $\rho(x, y)$  in a neighborhood of the origin.

As we are interested in the behavior of the transformation in the  $y$ -axis direction near the origin, let us blow-up the origin along the  $y$ -axis. More precisely, we change the coordinates by

$$(4.2) \quad u = \frac{x}{y}, \quad v = y$$

into new coordinates  $(u, v)$ . The origin  $(0, 0)$  of  $(x, y)$ -coordinates corresponds to the exceptional curve  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \times \{0\}$  in the  $(u, v)$ -coordinates.

In the  $(u, v)$ -coordinates, (4.1) takes the form

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} u_1 &= u + vu^2 + O(v^3u^2) + O(v^2u^3) \\ v_1 &= v + v^3u + O(v^4u) + O(v^3u^3). \end{cases}$$

Let us take a new system of coordinates defined by

$$(4.4) \quad z = \frac{1}{u}, \quad w = \frac{1}{v}.$$

Then (4.3) is transformed into the form

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{cases} z_1 &= z - \frac{1}{w}h_1(z, w) \\ w_1 &= w - \frac{1}{zw}h_2(z, w), \end{cases}$$

where  $h_1(z, w) = 1 + O(\frac{1}{zw}) + O(\frac{1}{w^2})$  and  $h_2(z, w) = 1 + O(\frac{1}{z^2}) + O(\frac{1}{w})$ . We regard (4.5) as a transformation near  $(\infty, \infty) \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Take constants  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2$  such that

$$0 < \theta_0 < \frac{1}{8}\pi, \quad 0 < \theta_2 < \frac{1}{8}\theta_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_0 + \theta_2 < \theta_1 < \frac{5}{4}\theta_0 - \theta_2.$$

Note that  $0 < \theta_0 + \theta_1 + \theta_2 < \frac{\pi}{3}$  holds.

Choose  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  such that  $\frac{3}{4} < r_1 < 1 < r_2 < \frac{5}{4}$  and let

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg z| < \theta_2, r_1 < |z| < r_2\}.$$

For  $R_1, R_2 > 0$ , let

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg(-z)| < \theta_1, \operatorname{Re} z < -R_1\}$$

and

$$V = \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\arg w| < \theta_0, \operatorname{Re} w > R_2\}.$$

Choose sufficiently large  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  such that

$$h_1(z, w) \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(z, w) \in \Omega$$

holds for all  $(z, w) \in U \times V$ , and that

$$r_2 < R_1 R_2^2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right).$$

Let  $\Phi : (z, w) \mapsto (z_1, w_1)$  denote the transformation (4.5) defined near  $(\infty, \infty) \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \times \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.1** If  $(z, w) \in U \times V$ , then  $\Phi(z, w) = (z_1, w_1) \in U \times V$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} z_1 < \operatorname{Re} z$ , and  $\operatorname{Re} w_1 > \operatorname{Re} w$ .

PROOF Let  $(z, w) \in U \times V$ . Then

$$|\arg(\frac{1}{w}h_1(z, w))| < \theta_0 + \theta_2 < \theta_1$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{w}h_1(z, w)) > 0.$$

Hence  $z_1 \in U$  and  $\operatorname{Re} z_1 < \operatorname{Re} z$  follow. Now, let  $\theta = \arg w$ . Then  $-\theta_0 < \theta < \theta_0$ . Note that

$$|\arg(-\frac{1}{zw}h_2(z, w))| < \theta_0 + \theta_1 + \theta_2 < \frac{\pi}{3}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(-\frac{1}{zw}h_2(z, w)) > 0.$$

First, consider the case where  $\frac{\theta_0}{2} < \theta < \theta_0$ . In this case, we have

$$\arg(-\frac{1}{zw}h_2(z, w)) < -\theta + \theta_1 + \theta_2 < \theta_0.$$

So, we have  $\arg w_1 < \theta_0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} w_1 > w > R_2$ . On the other hand,

$$|w_1 - w| = |-\frac{1}{zw}h_2(z, w)| < \frac{r_2}{R_1 R_2} < R_2 \sin \frac{\theta_0}{2}.$$

Hence  $w_1 \in V$  in this case.

Similarly, if  $-\theta_0 < \theta < -\frac{\theta_0}{2}$ , we have  $w_1 \in V$ .

Next, if  $|\theta| \leq \frac{\theta_0}{2}$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(-\frac{1}{zw}h_2(z, w)) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w_1 - w| < R_2 \sin \frac{\theta_0}{2},$$

which imply  $w_1 \in V$  and  $\operatorname{Re} w_1 > \operatorname{Re} w$ . Thus proposition 4.1 is proved.

Theorem 3.1 is a corollary of this proposition.

## References

- [1] J.Milnor : Geometry and Dynamics of Quadratic Rational Maps, Experimental Mathematics, Vol.2(1993),pp37-83.
- [2] T.Ueda : Analytic transformations of two complex variables with parabolic fixed points, preprint.